



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,125	03/01/2002	Tomoaki Umeda	Q66588	3925
7590 01/27/2006				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213				
			EXAMINER BAYERL, RAYMOND J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2173

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/085,125	Applicant(s) UMEDA, TOMOAKI	
	Examiner Raymond J. Bayerl	Art Unit 2173	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2173

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1, 4, 7, 19 - 33 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka et al. ("Nagasaka"; US #6,697,090 B1) in view of Fredlund et al. ("Fredlund"; US #5,666,215).

As per the "data management method" of independent claim 1 (and also the comparable "apparatus" and "recording medium" of respective independent claims 4, 7), the use of an "icon corresponding to a data management unit with which data can be registered" is found in Nagasaka, where a "drag-and-drop" interface such as the one in figs 9(a), 9(b) appears. In Nagasaka, When the user selects a desired image and a desired printer among the possible choices and drags and drops the data icon of the selected image onto the icon of the selected printer, an instruction to transfer data of the selected image from the digital camera A to the selected printer is immediately given (col 12, lines 26 - 61). Such a transfer reads directly upon "registering the data with the data management unit", when a source icon in Nagasaka is dragged and dropped upon a printer destination. As is seen in figs 4(a), 9(a), 9(b), respectively, the levels of Digital Cameras, Digital Camera A, and Digital Camera A's images are all selectable as icons representing "data".

While Nagasaka contains the illustration in the hierarchy of sources that "opening the icon" can produce "a simple output of the data" in the case of, for example, a Digital Camera A icon showing its image contents, Nagasaka does not contain an **explicit** teaching that "a simple output of the data registered with the data management unit to

Art Unit: 2173

the display” should occur by “opening” the “icon corresponding to a data management unit” (though an intermediate device to which an object is dragged in Nagasaka may be an input device or an output device (col 4, lines 4 - 15), meaning that a destination “icon” subject to “registering the data” has the potential in such a case of being opened in, say, the style of the Digital Camera A icon, and thus yielding a “simple output”).

However, the Fredlund SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR REMOTELY SELECTING PHOTOGRAPHIC IMAGES is such that imagerettes (28) from the digital image file are displayed in a column 52 (fig 3; col 5, lines 14 - 51) and the customer then identifies one of the images using a standard drag and drop computer interface, dragging it into the large display area 56. Fredlund is significant in this regard, in that when the image has been displayed in the display area 56 (and thus “registered” for image related services), various operations on the displayed image can be performed (col 5, line 52 - col 6, line 6), prior to submission to a photofinisher. This amounts to a print preview of the selected imagery that is being sent to a printer, and thus a “simple output of the data”.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant’s invention to have an “icon corresponding to a data management unit” that is a destination for “a drag-and-drop operation for the data onto the icon” as in Nagasaka, but where an alternative representation of the “icon” (such as that which would be obtained “by opening the icon” in Nagasaka) is that of Fredlund’s “simple output” as in the display area 56, because this provides an immediate indication to the Nagasaka user of what is being forwarded to further processing from initial “data”. Motivation to modify Nagasaka (besides that of the destination icon being openable as a

Art Unit: 2173

subsequent source) rests at least in Nagasaka's interest in providing a reliable indication of what is about to be printed, saving printing resources of the kind that will become particularly valuable in a specialty-printing arrangement such as Fredlund's.

As per claims 19 - 21 (and also claims 25 - 27), in which "the data management unit stores the data or a link to the data", when Fredlund's user has "registered" "data", it is forwarded to a photo processing lab (col 6, lines 50 - 63), at which a buffered copy of some sort will be retained.

Having "a plurality of data" being "individually dragged-and-dropped onto the icon to register the plurality of data" (claims 22 - 24) is implied by the user having the capability to select multiple images from a region 603 as in fig 6(b) of Nagasaka.

As per independent claim 28's "image display and ordering method" (and the parallel "apparatus", "medium" of respective independent claims 30, 32), the Nagasaka arrangement provides both for "a display icon corresponding to a stored image data set" (such as Digital Camera A's indications) and "an order icon corresponding to an image data set selected for ordering", when the Printer icons are extended to the environment of developing order information as in Fredlund, with "registering" being accomplished by "a drag-and-drop operation" in Nagasaka. When Nagasaka's source preview for Digital Camera A is accompanied by the use of print preview region 56 in Fredlund, "a simple image" is accessible upon the opening of each such "icon". Should the Nagasaka user have Fredlund's notification of what is about to be printed upon "opening the order icon" at the Printer location, "image order processing of the registered image" is possible, as in the image modifications possible in Fredlund's preview region. Alternatively, the

Art Unit: 2173

Nagasaka/Fredlund combination reads reasonably upon “image order processing”, when the Nagasaka icon is at all accessed upon “opening the order icon” to show Fredlund’s preview.

As per claims 29, 31, 33, “a simple image of each registered image” will be presented as in Fredlund, noted above. Then, “requesting print order information” occurs in Fredlund, to specify what the photofinisher should do. Finally, the Fredlund photo processing lab 14 as in figs 1A, 1B are the destination for “sending the print order information to a remote processing center”.

3. Claims 2 - 3, 5 - 6, 8 - 18 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka in view of Fredlund and Jackson et al. (“Jackson”; US #2002/0105658 A1).

As per claim 2’s limitation that “the data are image data and the simple output is displaying a thumbnail image” (and also claims 5, 8), while the “image data” source has such thumbnails in both of Nagasaka and Fredlund, the Fredlund/Nagasaka combination does not contain an **explicit** situation of a selected image region showing such a “simple output” in “thumbnail image” form (though the print preview region 56 in Fredlund provides a “simple output” *per se*).

However, in UTILIZING STORED IMAGES, Jackson has a user selecting at least one image to be utilized after viewing the displayed images, and selecting a service (Abstract). Jackson’s fig 7 illustrates a “thumbnail” index with highlighting representing the images selected for a service (paragraphs [0049] - [0050]). As in Fredlund, this is a “simple output of the data registered with the data management unit”.

It would have been further obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use a selected image index such as Jackson's, in conjunction with the "data management method" seen in Nagasaka/Fredlund, for this will assist the user still further in understanding just what has been indicated for print "by carrying out a drag-and-drop operation". The Nagasaka user, for example, would be motivated in the plural-item image selection from thumbnails in fig 6(b) to provide the user an indication of those items that have been cumulatively selected, so as to verify a print job order.

As per claims 3, 6, 9, in the Nagasaka/Fredlund scenario, a Jackson selected item thumbnail screen as per the obvious modification can indicate "the case where a plurality of sets of the image data have been registered with the data management unit", this becoming (as in Fredlund and Jackson both) "an order screen". Both Fredlund and Jackson also have "receiving an input of the content of a print order" and "generating order information", being involved as they are in photo processing. As seen in Fredlund's figs 1A, 1B, a "remote service provider" as in claims 10 - 12 is capable of all of "storing image data, recording the image data on portable recording medium" (as via a photo CD writer 113), and "printing image data" as by the printer units 106, 108, 110.

As per claims 13 - 15, Jackson's user uploads digital files In another embodiment, containing videos, audio recordings, or scanned documents such as children's drawings, deeds, wills, etc. (paragraph [0078]), to read upon the claimed "image data, audio data, moving-image data and text-file data". In addition to the above-noted "thumbnail image of the image data" (claims 16 - 18), thumbnails depicting

one or more images of a video clip, or an audio snippet providing a short audio recording are provided for user selection in Jackson, to read upon a “simple output” of “a portion of the audio data” and “still image of a scene in the moving -image data”, while the representations in Jackson are “a print preview of the text file” for scanned documents.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 14 November 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The arguments concerning the supposed inapplicability of Fredlund and Jackson in suggesting an “icon corresponding to a data management unit” are rendered substantially moot, by the teaching in Nagasaka that a destination for print jobs can be a dragged-to icon, as discussed above. This interface is then obviously adapted as per Fredlund to provide a “simple output of the data registered” (claim 1), and suggests that the Nagasaka drag-destination can be for “data selected for ordering” (claim 28). Jackson further provides the suggestion that the “simple output” can be “thumbnail images of the image data that have been registered” (claim 2), as in the screen in Jackson that depicts thumbnails of those images selected for processing.

Applicant's argument at page 14 of the response is “that the ‘registering’ for screen 400 [in Jackson] and the ‘registering’ for display area 56 [of Fredlund] are not analogous, and therefore, the Examiner's proffered reasons for combining is not supported by the prior art.” However, all that appears in the claims under “registering” is that “data” becomes associated with “an icon corresponding to a data management unit” (claim 1) or “an order icon corresponding to an image data set selected for ordering”

Art Unit: 2173

(claim 28). The Nagasaka/Fredlund combination is sufficient to show the drag-and-drop association of data icons with a "management unit" or an "order icon", these indicating further processes to be applied to "data" or "a stored image data set". The Examiner remains unable to "read in" the details as to "registering" that applicant is requesting, where a difference might be created between Fredlund's and Jackson's iconic screen. In each of Fredlund and Jackson, a "management unit" (represented by an "icon" in Nagasaka) has a "simple display" that shows a preview of the "data" that has been selected for "image order processing".

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


During a new and updating search of the relevant prior art, further examples of the handling of image data that is subjected to further processing are shown (see attached form PTO-892).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond J. Bayerl whose telephone number is (571) 272-4045. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th from 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM ET.

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (571) 272-4048. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by FAX **must be directed** to the central FAX number (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2173

8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.



RAYMOND J. BAYERL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2173
23 January 2006